



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/757,314	01/09/2001	Masayuki Kitagawa	MITUM22.001AUS	6789
20995	7590	03/24/2004	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			DANG, KHANH NMN	
2040 MAIN STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FOURTEENTH FLOOR			2111	
IRVINE, CA 92614			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/757,314	KITAGAWA, MASAYUKI
	Examiner Khanh Dang	Art Unit 2111

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Khanh Dang. (3) _____.

(2) John Carson (Atty. of Record). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Carson argued that The PC (1) does not have a second function (claim 1) or a device function (claim 16). The Examiner disagreed. It is the Examiner's position that the PC (1) connected to the system is a "device." Further, in addition to the first or host function, the PC (1)'s mere act of receiving a resume request can be considered as "a second function" or "device function."

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Khanh Dang
Primary Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required